Attestation procedure in the discipline

"Complex removable prosthetics for dental patients" for students of the educational program of the specialty 31.05.03. Dentistry (specialist's level),

form of study intramural for the 2023-2024 academic year

The final rating for the discipline (Rd) is calculated using the following formula:

$$Rd = (Rdsr + Rpa)/2$$

where R_d is the rating for the discipline

 R_{pa} - rating of the intermediate certification (credit)

 R_{dsr} - the average rating of the discipline for the ninth and tenth semesters – an individual assessment of the assimilation of the discipline in points for two semesters of study.

The average rating of the discipline for 2 semesters of study is calculated using the following formula:

$$R_{dsr} = (R_{pre9} + R_{pre10}) / 2$$

where:

 R_{pre9} -rating on the discipline in the 9th semester preliminary R_{pre10} -rating for the discipline in the 10th semester preliminary

The rating for the discipline in the 9th and 10th semesters preliminary is calculated using the following formula:

$$R_{prev} = (R_{tech} + R_{test}) / 2 + Rb - Rp$$

where:

 R_{tech} – current rating for the first or second semester (current academic performance, which is evaluated based on the average score, taking into account the assessment for independent work) R_{test} -rating for testing in the ninth or tenth semester.

 R_b – bonus rating δ – рейтинг бонусов

 R_p – penalty rating u – рейтинг штрафов

The maximum number of points that a student can get in a discipline in a semester is 100. The minimum number of points at which a discipline must be - awarded is 61.

1. Methodology for calculating the average score of current academic performance

The rating score for the discipline ($R_{me\kappa}$) is evaluated in total, taking into account the current academic performance, which is evaluated based on the average score, taking into account the assessment for independent work.

The student's knowledge and work in practical classes are evaluated by the teacher in each semester according to the classical 5-point system.

Independent work of students includes independent study of individual topics provided for in the work program. Student reporting form writing and defending an abstract, presentation on a given topic, online review, completing a practical skill assignment. Each topic of independent work is rated from 3 to 5 points, work rated below 3 points is not counted and requires completion by the student (Table 1).

At the end of each semester, a centralized calculation of the student's average academic performance in the semester is made with its transfer to the 100-point system (Table 2).

Table 1. Calculation of points for students 'independent work

Evaluation criteria	Evaluation criteria Rating score
The work is not completed, it is not completed in full, the work does not correspond to the topic of independent work.	0-2
The paper was submitted in full, but it made more than 2 gross thematic errors or omitted more than 1 key question on the topic of independent work.	3
The work was completed in full, but it made 1-2 gross thematic errors or omitted 1 key question of the topic of independent work.	4
The work is completed in full, there are no gross thematic errors, and the key questions of the topic of independent work are not missed.	5

At the end of each semester, a centralized calculation of the student's average academic performance in the semester is made with its transfer to the 100-point system (Table 2).

Table 2. Translation of the average score of a student's current academic performance into a rating score according to the 100-point system

Average Average Average Score on the 100-point 100-point 100-point 5-point 5-point 5-point system system system system system system 5.0 100 4.0 76-78 2.9 57-60 4.9 98-99 3.9 75 2.8 53-56 96-97 74 49-52 4.8 3.8 2.7 94-95 73 45-48 4.7 3.7 2.6 72 4.6 92-93 3.6 2.5 41-44 4.5 91 3.5 71 2.4 36-40 88-90 69-70 2.3 31-35 4.4 3.4 4.3 85-87 3.3 67-68 2.2 21-30 4.2 11-20 82-84 3.2 65-66 2.1 4.1 79-81 3.1 63- 64 2.0 0-10 3.0 61-62

2. Methodology for calculating test scores in the semester

The minimum number of points that can be obtained during testing is 61, and the maximum number is 100 points.

The test taker gets 1 (one) point for a correctly completed task, and 0 (zero) points for an incorrectly completed task. Evaluation of results after passing the test is carried out in accordance with Table 3.

The test is considered completed if you get 61 points or higher. If you get less than 61 points – you must pass the test again.

Table 3. Translation of the test result into a rating score

Number of mistakes made when answering 100 test tasks

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 9

10 - 19	81-90	81-90
20 - 29	71-80	71-80
30 - 39	61-70	61-70
≥ 40	0-60	0

3. Methodology for calculating the intermediate certification score (R_{pa}) Intermediate certification in the discipline is carried out in the form of a test. The test takes place in the form of testing and an interview, which includes questions on all the sections of the program studied. The minimum number of points (Rpa) that can be obtained during an interview is 61, and the maximum is 100 points (Table 4).

Table 4. Criteria for assessing the development of practical skills and the formation of competencies

Response characteristics	ECTS	Score Points in	Уровен ь the BRS Level level of compete nce of compete nce	for mat ion As ses sm ent
The student can independently conduct a patient's examination, make a diagnosis, determine treatment tactics and perform the intended manipulations. Possesses versatile skills and techniques for performing practical work. The student presents a full justification of the applied therapeutic and diagnostic measures, decisions made and preventive recommendations. The student demonstrates an advanced high level сформированностиоf competence formation.	A	100-96		5 (5+)
The student can independently conduct an examination of the patient, make a diagnosis, determine treatment tactics and perform the planned manipulations. Possesses versatile skills and techniques for performing practical work. The student presents a full justification of the applied therapeutic and diagnostic measures, decisions made and preventive recommendations. At the same time, there may be shortcomings that the student corrected independently in the course of work. The student demonstrates an advanced level сформированностиоf competence development.	In	95-91	HIGH	yea rs, the
Student can independently conduct an examination of the patient, make a diagnosis, determine treatment tactics and perform the planned manipulations. The student presents the rationale for the applied therapeutic and diagnostic measures and preventive recommendations. There may be shortcomings or minor errors corrected by the student with the help of the teacher. The student demonstrates a sufficient level of education competencies.	From	90-81	AVERAGE	4

Students can independently conduct a patient's examination, make a diagnosis, determine treatment tactics and perform certain manipulations. At the same time, minor errors or shortcomings were made, corrected by the student with the help of the teacher. The student presents an incomplete justification of the applied therapeutic and diagnostic measures and preventive recommendations. The student demonstrates an average level сформированностиоf competence formation.	D	80–76		4 (4-)
The student can conduct an examination of the patient, make a diagnosis, determine the main stages of treatment and perform certain manipulations. At the same time, there are several significant mistakes that a student can correct only with the help of a teacher. The student has an idea of the rationale for the applied therapeutic and diagnostic measures and preventive recommendations. The student demonstrates a threshold level сформированностиоf competence formation.	E	75-71		3 (3+
The student did not fully examine the patient. Has doubts about the diagnosis and formulation of the main stages of treatment, which can only be eliminated with the help of a teacher. Can perform professional manipulations under the supervision of a supervisor and has difficulties performing practical work independently. The student has a superficial understanding of the rationale for the applied therapeutic and diagnostic measures and preventive recommendations. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formation.	E	70-66	MO	3 (3-)
The student was unable to conduct an independent examination of the patient. Has doubts about the diagnosis and formulation of the main stages of treatment, which can only be eliminated with the help of a teacher. Can selectively perform a number of professional manipulations included in the treatment plan only under the supervision of a supervisor and has difficulties in performing practical work independently. The student demonstrates a superficial knowledge of certain areas of justification of the applied therapeutic and diagnostic measures. The student demonstrates an insufficient level of competence formation.	E	65-61	1	3 (3-)
The student was unable to conduct an independent examination of the patient. Has difficulties in making a diagnosis and formulating the main stages of treatment. Can selectively perform a number of professional manipulations that are not related to the treatment plan formulated by the teacher. The practical implementation of the manipulation is replaced by its superficial oral	Fx	60–41	EXTREMELY LOW	2

description. The student cannot formulate the rationale		
for the applied therapeutic and diagnostic measures. No		
answers were received on the basic questions of the		
discipline. No practical skills are available.		
There is no competence.		

Table 5. Criteria for assessing the level of assimilation of the discipline material and the formation of competencies

Tormation of compete				
Characteristics of the answer	ECTS	Score	Level	Score on
		Points	сформиров	_
		in the	анностиоб	scale
		BRS	competence	
			formation in	
			the	
	<u> </u>		discipline	
A complete, detailed answer to the question is given,	A	100-96		5
the totality of conscious knowledge about the object is				(5+)
shown, which is manifested in the free operation of				
concepts, the ability to identify its essential and non-				
essential features, cause-and-effect relationships.				
Knowledge about an object				
is demonstrated against the background of its				
understanding in the system of this science and				
interdisciplinary connections. The answer is				
formulated in terms of science, presented in literary				
language, logical, evidence-based, and demonstrates				
the author's position of the student. The student				
demonstrates a high advanced level				
сформированностиоf competence	İ		Н	
A complete, detailed answer to the question is given,	В	95-91	HIGH	5
the totality of conscious knowledge about the object is			H	
shown, the main provisions of the topic are revealed in				
evidence; the answer traces a clear structure, logical				
sequence, reflecting the essence of the concepts,				
theories, and phenomena being revealed. Knowledge				
about an object is demonstrated against the				
background of its understanding in the system of this				
science and interdisciplinary connections. The answer				
is presented in literary language in terms of science.				
There may be shortcomings in the definition of				
concepts that are corrected by the student				
independently during the response process. The				
student demonstrates a high level				
сформированностиоf competence development.	<u> </u>			
, a complete, detailed answer to the question is given,	С	90-81		to 4
and the ability to identify essential and non-essential				
signs, cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The			ge	
answer is clearly structured, logical, and presented in			average	
literary language in terms of science. There may be			av	
shortcomings or minor errors corrected by the student				
with the help of the teacher. The student demonstrates				

an average increased level сформированностиоб				
competence formation.				
, a full, detailed answer to the question is given, the	D	80-76		4 (4-)
ability to identify significant and non-essential signs,	D	00 70		'(')
cause-and-effect relationships is shown. The answer is				
clearly structured, logical, and presented in terms of				
science. However, minor mistakes or shortcomings				
were made, corrected by the student with the help of"				
leading " questions from the teacher. The student				
demonstrates an average sufficient level				
сформированностиоf competence formation.				
A complete but not sufficiently consistent answer to	Е	75-71		3 (3+)
the question is given, but the ability to identify	L	75 71		3 (31)
significant and non-essential signs and cause-and-				
effect relationships is shown. The answer is logical				
and stated in terms of science. There may be 1-2 errors				
in the definition of basic concepts that the student finds				
difficult to correct independently. The student				
demonstrates a low level сформированностиоб				
competence formation.				
The answer is not complete or detailed enough. Logic	Е	70-66		3
and consistency of presentation have violations.	L	70-00	MOC	3
Mistakes were made in the disclosure of concepts and			ПС	
the use of terms. The student is not able to				
independently identify essential and non-essential				
features and cause-and-effect relationships. The				
student can concretize the generalized knowledge,				
proving their main points by examples only with the				
help of the teacher. Speech design requires corrections				
and corrections.				
The student demonstrates an extremely low level of				
educationсформированности competencies.				
An incomplete answer is given, and the logic and	Е	65-61		3 (3-)
sequence of presentation are significantly violated.	L	03-01		3 (3-)
Gross errors were made in determining the essence of				
the disclosed concepts, theories, and phenomena, due				
to the students ' misunderstanding of their essential			ΓΓ	
and non-essential features and connections. There are			OF.	
no conclusions in the response. The ability to reveal			THRESHOLD	
specific manifestations of generalized knowledge is			IRI	
not shown. Speech design requires corrections and			TTH	
corrections.				
The student demonstrates a threshold level				
сформированностиоf competence formation.				
An incomplete answer is given, representing scattered	Fx	60-41		2
knowledge on the topic of the question with significant	1 · A	00-41	ш ш	_
errors in definitions. There is fragmentary, illogical			ŽČ.	
presentation. The student is not aware of the			四日(百	
-			APETE LEVEI NO APETE	
connection of this concept, theory, phenomenon with other objects of the discipline. There are no			MF LE MP	
conclusions, concretization, or evidence-based			COMPETENCE LEVEL NO COMPETENCE	
presentation. Speech is illiterate. Additional and				

clarifying questions from the teacher do not lead to correction ответаоf the student's answer not only to the question posed, but also to other questions of the discipline. There is no competence.		
No answers received for basic questions of the discipline. The student does not demonstrate indicators of achievement of competence formation. There is no competence.	40-0	2

6. Bonus and penalty system

This model for calculating the rating score provides for bonuses that increase the rating score and penalties that lower the rating, according to the table below (Table 5).

Table 6. Bonuses and penalties by discipline

Bonuses	Name	Scores
UIRS Points	Educational and research work on the topics of the subject under study	up to+ 5.0
	Certificate of participation in the SES of the department of the 1st degree	+ 5.0
	Certificate of participation in the SES of the department of the 2nd degree	+ 4.0
NIRS	Certificate of participation in the SES of the department of the 3rd degree	+ 3.0
	Certificate of participation in the SES of the department of the 4th degree	+ 2.0
	Certificate of participation in the SES of the department of the 5th degree	+ 1.0
Penalties	Name	Points
	Skipping a lecture or practice session without a valid reason	- 2.0
Disciplinary	Systematic lateness to lectures or practice sessions	- 1.0
	Performing independent work not on time	- 1.0
	Violation of safety rules	- 2.0
Causing material damage	Damage to equipment and property	- 2.0

The final grade that the teacher puts in the credit book is the final rating for the discipline (R_d), transferred to the "credited-not credited" system (Table 6).

Table 6. Final assessment by discipline

Score according to the 100-point system	Score according to the "credited - not credited"system	5-point s	score ECTS	
score 96-100	credited	5	excellent	A
91-95	credited		excellent.	В
81-90	credited	4	good	C
76-80	credited	'	g00 u	D

61-75	credited	3	satisfactory	Е
41-60	not credited	2	unsatisfactory	Fx
0-40	not credited	2	unsatisfactory	F

Considered at the meeting of the Department for Prosthetic dentistry with course of clinical dentistry "23" May 2023, protocol No 10. Manonog

Head of the Department

V.I. Shemonaev