> Attestation procedure
> in the discipline
> "Methods of pharmaceutical analysis"
> for students of the educational program
> of the specialty / area of training 33.05.01 Pharmacy,
> profile Pharmacy
> (specialist's level),
> form of study full-time
> for the 2023-2024 academic year
$R c$ - rating score for the course is calculated based on final test points, marks given for coursework where the maximum of points is 100 ,with the minimum of points for the student to be passed in the course being 61 (see Table 1).
$R c$ is calculated according to the formula:

$$
R c=(R m p+R f t) / 2
$$

where $\boldsymbol{R c}$ - rating score for the course
$\boldsymbol{R f t}$ - rating score for final test
$\boldsymbol{R m p}$ - mean preliminary rating score ( 2 semesters) is the ratingscore for two semesters prior to the final test.

Table 1. Final mark for the course

| Points on 0-100 <br> scale | Pass/fail | Five-mark grading system |  | ECTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9 6 - 1 0 0}$ | pass | 5 | outstanding | A |
| $\mathbf{9 1 - 9 5}$ | pass | 5 | excellent | B |
| $\mathbf{8 1 - 9 0}$ | pass | 4 | good | C |
| $\mathbf{7 6 - 8 0}$ | pass | 4 | fair | D |
| $\mathbf{6 1 - 7 5}$ | pass | 3 | satisfactory | E |
| $\mathbf{0 - 6 1}$ | fail | 2 | poor | F |

The mean preliminary rating score is calculated as follows:

$$
R m p=(R p 1+R p 2) / 2
$$

where
Rp1 - preliminary rating score for the first semester
$\boldsymbol{R p} \mathbf{2}$ - preliminary rating score for the second semester
Preliminary rating score for the first and second semester is calculated asfollows:
$\mathbf{R p}=\mathbf{R m g}+$ bonus points - malus points;

Rmg - mean grade in the first or second semester calculated as the arithmetic mean for all the marks gained during the semester; marks are given on a scale fromone to five.

At the end of the semester the teacher calculates the mean grade and translates thevalue on the 0 -

100 scale (see table 2). To this value bonus points are added and malus points are subtracted.
Table 2. Translating mean grade into rating points

| Mean <br> grade on <br> one-to- <br> five scale | Rating points <br> on 0-100 <br> scale | Mean <br> grade on <br> one-to- <br> five scale | Rating points <br> on 0-100 <br> scale | Mean <br> grade on <br> one-to- <br> five scale | Rating points <br> on 0-100 <br> scale |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5.0 | 100 | 4.0 | $76-78$ | 2.9 | $57-60$ |
| 4.9 | $98-99$ | 3.9 | 75 | 2.8 | $53-56$ |
| 4.8 | $96-97$ | 3.8 | 74 | 2.7 | $49-52$ |
| 4.7 | $94-95$ | 3.7 | 73 | 2.6 | $45-48$ |
| 4.6 | $92-93$ | 3.6 | 72 | 2.5 | $41-44$ |
| 4.5 | 91 | 3.5 | 71 | 2.4 | $36-40$ |
| 4.4 | $88-90$ | 3.4 | $69-70$ | 2.3 | $31-35$ |
| 4.3 | $85-87$ | 3.3 | $67-68$ | 2.2 | $21-30$ |
| 4.2 | $82-84$ | 3.2 | $65-66$ | 2.1 | $11-20$ |
| 4.1 | $79-81$ | 3.1 | $63-64$ | 2.0 | $0-10$ |
|  |  | 3.0 | $61-62$ |  |  |

## 1. Calculating rating score for final test (Rft):

At the department, the discipline: "Methods of pharmacopoeial analysis" is studied in the IV-VI semesters;

The discipline exam is conducted during the summer examination session in the form of interview on theoretical issues and case studies.

The exam is conducted with the use of exam cards drawn up on the basis of the program of the discipline.

The questions cover all sections of the discipline. All exam cards are equivalent in volume and complexity. Exam cards are approved at a meeting of the Department and the Council of the Faculty of Pharmacy.

Table 3．Criteria for evaluation of student performanceon final test

| Student performance | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { EC } \\ & \text { TS } \end{aligned}$ | Points on 0－ 100 scale | Competence formation | Mark on 1－5 scale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $96-100 \%$ correct answers，which comprises 24 questions out of 25 ．High advanced competence level | A | 100－96 | $\frac{5}{\square .00}$ | $5_{(5+)}$ |
| 91－95\％correct answers，which comprises 23－ 24 questions．High competence level． | B | 95－91 |  | 5 |
| 81－90\％correct answers，which comprises 21－ 22 questions．Medium high competence level． | C | 90－81 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & : ⿹ \zh26 灬 \end{aligned}$ | 4 |
| 76－80\％correct answers，which comprises 19－ 20 questions．Medium sufficient competence level． | D | 80－76 |  | 4 （4－） |
| 71－75\％correct answers，which comprises 18 questions．Low competence level | E | 75－71 | $\stackrel{3}{0}$ | 3 （3＋） |
| 66－70\％correct answers，which comprises 17 questions．Extremely low competence level． | E | 70－66 | Extremely low | 3 |
| $61-65 \%$ correct answers，which comprises 16 questions．Threshold competence level． | E | 65－61 | 或 ${ }_{\text {\％}}^{\sim}$ | 3 （3－） |
| 41－60\％correct answers，which comprises 11－ 15 questions．No competence developed． | Fx | 60－41 | 免 | 2 |

## 2．Bonus and malus points

Bonus points can raise the rating score of a student while malus pointsdecrease it． They are given according to Table 4.

| Bonus points | Passing concluding tests according to the <br> study plan，with excellent and good marks | $+2,0$（for each <br> concluding test） |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Malus points | Failing to do home assignments（over30\％） | $-5,0$ from rating <br> score |
|  | Writing concluding tests later than the designated <br> day，without a valid excuse，or re－taking the <br> concluding test having failed it before | lach part of the <br> concluding test is <br> given 3 at most（on <br> $1-5$ scale） |
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