> Attestation procedure in the discipline 'Latin"'
> for students of the specialist educational program in the specialty of training 33.05.01 Pharmacy, (profile) Pharmacy, full-time form of study
> for the 2023-2024 academic year

Rating for the discipline final (Rd) is calculated according to the following formula:

$$
\mathbf{R d}=(\mathbf{R d s r}+\mathbf{R p a}) / 2
$$

where,
$\mathbf{R d}$ - total rating for the discipline
Rpa - rating of intermediate attestation (credit test - summative assessment test)
Rdsr - the average rating of the discipline for the first and second semesters individual assessment of learning the discipline in points for two semesters of study.

The average rating of the discipline for 2 semesters of study is calculated by the following formula:

$$
\text { Rdsr }=(\text { Rpre1+ Rpre2 }) / 2
$$

where,
Rpre1 - preliminary rating for the discipline in the 1st semester
Rpre2 - preliminary rating for the discipline in the 2nd semester
Preliminary rating for the discipline in the 1 st and 2 nd semester is calculated according to the following formula:

$$
\text { Rpred }=\text { Rtek }+ \text { Rb }- \text { Rsh }
$$

where,
Rtek - current rating for the first or second semester (current academic performance, assessed by the average grade, taking into account the grade for independent work)
$\mathbf{R b}$ - rating of bonuses
Rsh - rating of penalties
The maximum number of points that a student can get in a discipline in a semester is 100 . The minimum number of points at which the discipline should be credited -61 .

Method for calculating the average point of current academic performance Current performance assessment is carried out during the semester in the form of test papers (PP). Students' knowledge and activities in practical classes are evaluated by the instructor in the semester, according to the classical 5-point system.

At the end of the study of the theme, the learning outcomes are monitored in the form of formative assessment tests (CATs). Criteria for assessing the level of competences are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Criteria for assessing the level of competences

| Criteria for assessing | Grades |
| :--- | :---: |
| The test is not completed or is incomplete, the student demonstrates an <br> unsatisfactory level of development of the formed competences - more <br> than 5 mistakes are made. | $0-2$ |
| The test is more than 60\% complete, but there are more than 4 <br> grammatical and spelling errors. The answers show a satisfactory level of <br> development of the formed competences | 3 |
| The test is fully completed, but there are no more than 3 grammatical <br> errors or no more than 3 spelling mistakes. The answers prove a good <br> level of development of the formed competences | 4 |
| The test paper is fully completed, it may contain 1 grammatical error or <br> no more than 2 spelling mistakes. The student demonstrates a high level <br> of development of the formed competences. | 5 |

Independent work of students includes self- study of particular topics specified in the corresponding syllabus. The form of student reporting is fulfillment of 20 test tasks on the topic of Independent work of students presented on the VolgSMU electronic information and educational portal (EIEP). Independent work is evaluated according to the 100 -point system with subsequent converting into an average score. The work evaluated below 61 points is not counted and requires repeated passing by the student. In the case of repeated performance of testing tasks or the untimely passage of control of independent work (later than the deadlines specified in the task for the implementation of Independent work, posted on the EIEP), the student is scored no higher than 61 points. The final assessment of independent work is converted into a 5 -point system and is considered in calculating the current rating.
The current rating score for the discipline (Rtek) is evaluated summarily, taking into account the current academic performance, which is assessed by the average score, taking into account the assessment for independent work.
At the end of each semester, a centralised calculation of the average score of the student's academic performance in the semester with its conversion into a 100point system is made (Table 2).

Table 2. Calculation of the average score of the student's academic performance in the semester with its conversion into a 100 -point system

| Average | Score on a | Average | Score on a | Average | Score on a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| score in the | 100-point <br> 5-point <br> system | score in the <br> 5-point | 100-point <br> system | score in the <br> 5-point | 100-point <br> system |


| system |  | system |  | system |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.0 | 100 | 4.0 | $76-78$ | 2.9 | $57-60$ |
| 4.9 | $98-99$ | 3.9 | 75 | 2.8 | $53-56$ |
| 4.8 | $96-97$ | 3.8 | 74 | 2.7 | $49-52$ |
| 4.7 | $94-95$ | 3.7 | 73 | 2.6 | $45-48$ |
| 4.6 | $92-93$ | 3.6 | 72 | 2.5 | $41-44$ |
| 4.5 | 91 | 3.5 | 71 | 2.4 | $36-40$ |
| 4.4 | $88-90$ | 3.4 | $69-70$ | 2.3 | $31-35$ |
| 4.3 | $85-87$ | 3.3 | $67-68$ | 2.2 | $21-30$ |
| 4.2 | $82-84$ | 3.2 | $65-66$ | 2.1 | $11-20$ |
| 4.1 | $79-81$ | 3.1 | $63-64$ | 2.0 | $0-10$ |
|  |  | 3.0 | $61-62$ |  |  |

This rating score calculation model provides for bonuses that increase the rating score and penalties that decrease the rating score, as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. List of bonuses and penalties

| Bonuses | Description | Points |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Academic and <br> research activities | Participation in the regional Latin language <br> Olympiad "The Best Expert in Latin Aphorisms <br> and Expressions". | up +10,0 |
|  | Participation in Latin language Olympiads and <br> competitions held by other universities | up +10,0 |
| Academic <br> performance | For timely passing of test papers with grades <br> "good" and "excellent" | +1.0 to the current <br> semester rating <br> (according to the <br> number of test papers) |
| Penalties | Description | Points |

The students who have no current academic debts and scored at least 61 points of rating on the discipline can be admitted to the interim certification (PA -
summative assessment test). The presence of academic debts (current or final academic debt) in other disciplines is not taken into account.

## Method of calculating the score of interim certification (credit test) (Rpa):

Intermediate certification of the discipline is carried out in the form of credit test (summative assessment test). The form of intermediate assessment of the discipline is the summative assessment test ( T ) with the assessment of the practical component of the formed competences. The test includes 25 questions. The time of testing is 20 minutes. The minimum number of points (Rpa), which can be obtained -61 , the maximum - 100 points (Table 2.) Students, who have not passed the summative assessment test, assigned a second attestation, according to internal local acts and the schedule of retesting. During the repeated summative assessment test students receive a rating on the summative assessment test is not higher than 61 points. Criteria for assessing the level of competence at the interim certification are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Criteria for assessing the level of competences formed during interim certification

| Description of the answer | ECTS assessment | Scores | Level of competences formed | Grade in the 5-point system |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 96-100\% of the test was correctly completed, which corresponds to 24 tasks out of 25 . The student demonstrates a high advanced level of competence formed | A | 100-96 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{T} \\ & \underset{y}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & (5+) \end{aligned}$ |
| $91-95 \%$ of the test is correctly completed, which corresponds to 23-24 tasks. The student demonstrates a high level of competences. | B | 95-91 |  | 5 |
| $81-90 \%$ of the test is correct, which corresponds to 21-22 tasks. The student demonstrates an average elevated level of competence. | C | 90-81 |  | 4 |
| $76-80 \%$ of tasks are correctly completed, which corresponds to 19-20 tasks. The student demonstrates an average sufficient level of competences. | D | 80-76 |  | 4 (4-) |
| $71-75 \%$ of tasks are correctly completed, which corresponds to 18 tasks. The student demonstrates a low level of competence formed. | E | 75-71 | $3$ | 3 (3+) |
| $66-70 \%$ of tasks are correctly completed, which corresponds to 17 tasks. The student demonstrates an extremely low level of competence formed. | E | 70-66 |  | 3 |
| $61-65 \%$ of the tasks are correctly completed, which corresponds to 16 tasks. The student demonstrates the minimum level of competences. | E | 65-61 | $\sum_{i}^{s} \Sigma$ | 3 (3-) |
| Correctly completed $41-60 \%$ of tasks, which corresponds to 11-15 tasks. Competence is absent. | Fx | 60-41 | 岂 | 2 |
| The student does not demonstrate indicators of achievement of competence forming. Competence is absent | F | 40-0 |  | 2 |

The total grade, which the instructor puts in the credit book is the rating of the discipline final (Rd) on the system "passed - not passed" (Table 5).

Table 5. Final grade for the discipline

| Score on <br> 100-point <br> system | Assessment on <br> the system <br> "passed - not <br> passed" | Grade in the 5- <br> point system |  | ECTS <br> assessment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $96-100$ | passed | 5 | excellent | A |
| $91-95$ | passed |  |  | B |
| $81-90$ | passed | 4 | good | C |
| $76-80$ | passed |  | D |  |
| $61-75$ | passed | 3 | satisfactory | E |
| $40-60$ | not passed | 2 | unsatisfactory | Fx |
| $0-40$ | not passed |  | F |  |
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