Assessment of student performance in the course of Anatomy for students receiving instruction in General Medicine 31.05.01, full time, academic year 2025-2026

Rd – rating score for the discipline is calculated based on total rating score for 3 semester, marker given for each coursework and rating score for the exam where the maximum of points is 100, with the minimum of points for the student to be passed in the course being 61 (see Table 1).

Table 1. Final mark for the semester

| Points on 0-100 scale | | | | ECTS | |
|-----------------------|------|---|--------------|------|--|
| 96-100 | pass | 5 | outstanding | A | |
| 91-95 | pass | 5 | excellent | В | |
| 81-90 | pass | 4 | good | C | |
| 76-80 | pass | 4 | fair | D | |
| 61-75 | pass | 3 | satisfactory | E | |
| 0-61 | fail | 2 | poor | F | |

Rd is calculated according to the formula:

Rd = (Rmp+Re)/2, where

Rmp – mean preliminary rating score (3 semesters) is the rating score for three semesters prior to the exam.

Re - mean rating score for the exam

Rmp = (Rp1 + Rp2 + Rp3)/3

Rp1 – preliminary rating score for the 1st semester

Rp2 – preliminary rating score for the 2nd semester

Rp3 – preliminary rating score for the 3rd semester

Preliminary rating score for the first, second and third semester is calculated as follows:

Rp = Rmg + bonus points - malus points;

Rmg – mean grade in the first, second or third semester calculated as the arithmetic mean for all the marks gained during the semester; marks are given on a scale from one to five.

At the end of the semester the teacher calculates the mean grade and translates the value on the 0-100 scale (see table 2). To this value bonus points are added and malus points are subtracted.

Table 2. Translating mean grade into rating points

| Five-mark grading system | Points on 0- 100 scale | Five-mark grading system | Points on 0- 100 scale | Five-mark grading system | Points on 0-100 scale |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 5.0 | 100 | 4.0 | 76-78 | 2.9 | 57-60 |
| 4.9 | 98-99 | 3.9 | 75 | 2.8 | 53-56 |
| 4.8 | 96-97 | 3.8 | 74 | 2.7 | 49-52 |
| 4.7 | 94-95 | 3.7 | 73 | 2.6 | 45-48 |
| 4.6 | 92-93 | 3.6 | 72 | 2.5 | 41-44 |
| 4.5 | 91 | 3.5 | 71 | 2.4 | 36-40 |
| 4.4 | 88-90 | 3.4 | 69-70 | 2.3 | 31-35 |
| 4.3 | 85-87 | 3.3 | 67-68 | 2.2 | 21-30 |
| 4.2 | 82-84 | 3.2 | 65-66 | 2.1 | 11-20 |
| 4.1 | 79-81 | 3.1 | 63- 64 | 2.0 | 0-10 |
| | | 3.0 | 61-62 | | |

Calculating rating score for exam (Re):

End of course assessment is performed as an exam. The exam covers all areas covered in coursework. Only those students are allowed to take the exam whose mean grade in three semesters is 61 and above and who meet all course requirements. Students who fail the exam are allowed to take it two more times, according to the department schedule. The exam includes three areas: anatomical terms (in latin), topography and structure of organs, blood supply and venous drainage, lymphatic drainage, innervation, clinical significance.

Table 3. Criteria for evaluation of student performance on the exam

| Table 5. Citteria for evaluation of student performance on the exam | | | | |
|--|------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Student performance | ECTS | Points on 0-100 scale | Competence formation | Mark on 1-5 scale |
| An excellent performance, clearly outstanding. The student demonstrates excellent judgement and a very high degree of independent thinking. High advanced competence level. | A | 100–96 | H | 5 (5+) |
| Above average standards, with minor errors. The student demonstrates sound judgement and a high degree of independent thinking. High competence level. | В | 95–91 | HIGH | 5 |
| Generally sound work, with some errors. The student demonstrates a reasonable degree of judgment and independent thinking in the most important areas. The student expands on answer by giving additional explanation, and then extends that information by explaining the additional features and clinical relations using medical terminology. Medium high competence level. | С | 90–81 | MEDIUM | 4 |
| Fair, but with significant shortcomings. The student demonstrates a limited degree of judgement and independent thinking. The student gives an example to demonstrate his/her understanding of the definition using some anatomical models and organs. Medium sufficient competence level. | D | 80-76 | | 4 (4-) |
| Performance meets minimum criteria. The student demonstrates a very limited degree of judgement and independent thinking. This answer makes appropriate use of the names of the organs (formal and actual (latin and greek terminology)). The student connects those names to the correct clinical significance with some errors. Low competence level. | E | 75-71 | | 3 (3+) |
| Partially correct answers, recurring errors (an earlier error that makes the rest of the answer wrong). The student is not able to independently identify essential and non-essential features and cause-and-effect relationships. The student cannot give some examples of topography and clinical significance of anatomical structures. Extremely low competence level. | E | 70-66 | TOW | 3 |

| A student who only knows the definition of the concepts required. Some answers that show little or no understanding on the part of the student. He addresses the question, and he has something to say about general structures of human body without some details with the language or spelling errors. Threshold competence level. | E | 65-61 | HRESHOLD | 3 (3-) |
|--|----|--------------|----------|--------|
| The student demonstrates an absence of both judgement and independent thinking. This is a desperation response, showing that the student read the question but doesn't know anything about the subject. This answer doesn't reveal any understanding of human anatomy. The student answers his or her own question rather than the one that was asked, answers that don't address the question. No competence developed. | Fx | 60-41 | ABSENT | 2 |
| Considerable further work is required | F | 40-0 | | 2 |

Bonus and malus points

Bonus points can raise the rating score of a student while malus points decrease it. They are given according to Table 4.

| Bonus points | Type of work | Points | |
|----------------------|---|--------|--|
| Educational research | Educational research according to program | + 5,0 | |
| | 1st degree Diploma of the conference | + 5,0 | |
| Scientific work | 2 nd degree Diploma of the conference | + 4,0 | |
| at department | 3 rd degree Diploma of the conference | + 3,0 | |
| for anatomy | 4 th degree Diploma of the conference | + 2,0 | |
| | 5 th degree Diploma of the conference | + 1,0 | |
| Annual | 1st degree Diploma of the conference | + 4,0 | |
| Anatomy | 2 nd degree Diploma of the conference | + 3,0 | |
| Drawing | 3 rd degree Diploma of the conference | + 2,0 | |
| Competition | Participant Certificate | + 1,0 | |
| Malus points | Type of work | Points | |
| Disciplinary | Missing a lecture or practical session without a valid reason | - 2,0 | |
| Discipinary | Systematic lateness to lectures or practical classes | - 1,0 | |
| | Safety violation | - 2,0 | |
| Material damage | Damage to equipment and property | - 2,0 | |

Considered at the meeting of the department for Anatomy on «24» june 2025, protocol No 20

Head of department

Karf

S.A. Kalashnikova